

Completeness - Topology in $C(X)$

Elements of Solution

1. (*Summable sequences.*) Consider the space $\ell^1(\mathbf{N})$ of sequences $U = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ such that the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} |u_n|$ converges, equipped with the distance d_1 associated with the norm

$$\|U\|_1 = \sum_{n \geq 0} |u_n|.$$

(a) Prove that $\ell^1(\mathbf{N})$ is complete.

Consider the subspace $c_{00}(\mathbf{N})$ of finitely supported sequences.

(b) Is it complete for d_1 ? If not, determine its completion.

Solution. (a) Let $\{U^k\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\ell^1(\mathbf{N})$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider p and q large enough so that $d_1(U^p - U^q) < \varepsilon$, that is,

$$(*) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |u_n^p - u_n^q| < \varepsilon.$$

In particular, $|u_n^p - u_n^q| < \varepsilon$ for any $p, q, n \in \mathbf{N}$, showing that, for any fixed n , the sequence $\{u_n^k\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ is Cauchy in \mathbf{R} complete. Denote by $U = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ the pointwise limit defined by

$$u_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_n^k.$$

Let us prove that $U \in \ell^1(\mathbf{N})$. Cauchy sequences are bounded, so there exists $M \geq 0$ such that $\|U^k\|_1 \leq M$ for all k . In particular, partial sums are bounded by M as well: for any $N \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^N |u_n^k| \leq \|U^k\|_1 \leq M.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in each of these finite sums, we see that $\sum_{n=0}^N |u_n| \leq M$ for any N , so that the series associated with U converges absolutely and $\|U\|_1 \leq M$.

Finally, we prove that $d_1(U^k, U) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider p and q large enough for $(*)$ to hold. Fixing p and letting $q \rightarrow \infty$ gives $d_1(U^p, U) \leq \varepsilon$, and since ε

can be chosen arbitrarily small, it shows that $\{U^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, which is therefore complete.

(b) The example of a convergent geometric series shows that $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ is a strict subset of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$. We will show that it is dense in $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, establishing at the same time that it is not closed, hence not complete and that its completion with respect to d_1 is $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$.

Consider, for $U = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, the sequence $\{U^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by truncation:

$$u_n^k = \begin{cases} u_n & \text{if } n \leq k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then, by construction

$$d_1(U^k, U) = \sum_{n \geq k+1} |u_n|,$$

which converges to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ because U is summable, showing that U is the limit in $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ of the sequence $\{U^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$.

2. (Completeness is not a topological property.) Let $E = (0, +\infty)$ and for $x, y \in E$, consider $\delta(x, y) = \left| \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} \right|$.

(a) Prove that δ is a distance on E and that it induces the same topology as the Euclidean distance d .

(b) Is the map $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ uniformly continuous as a map from (E, d) to itself? As a map from (E, d) to (E, δ) ?

(c) Is (E, δ) complete?

(d) Is $((0, 1], d)$ complete?

(e) Is $((0, 1], \delta)$ complete?

(f) Is completeness a topological property?

Solution. **(a) Method 1:** prove that every open d -ball contains a δ -ball with the same center and vice versa. *Method 2:* prove that $(E, d) \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} (E, \delta)$ is a homeomorphism. To see this, it is convenient to decompose the identity map as $(E, d) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (E, d) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (E, \delta)$ where $\varphi(x) = x^{-1}$ and prove that both are homeomorphisms. Note that both methods boil down to the fact that φ is a homeomorphism from $(E, d \text{ or } \delta)$ to $(E, d \text{ or } \delta)$.

(b) No. Yes.

(c) No: $u_n = n$ is Cauchy but it does not converge (argue by contradiction).

(d) No: it is not closed in (\mathbf{R}, d) . Alternatively, consider $u_n = \frac{1}{n}$, Cauchy but not convergent in $(0, 1]$.

(e) Yes. *Method 1:* show that a Cauchy sequence $\{u_n\}$ for δ is also Cauchy for d hence converges for d in the closure of $(0, 1]$. If the d -limit is > 0 , it is also the δ -limit because d and δ induce the same topology (or check it directly with balls) so the sequence converges. Assume the limit is 0. Then $\delta(1, u_n)$ diverges to $+\infty$ so $\{u_n\}$ is not bounded which is impossible since it is Cauchy. In conclusion, a Cauchy sequence in $((0, 1], \delta)$ converges in $((0, 1], \delta)$, which is therefore complete.

Method 2: $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is an isometric (hence uniformly continuous) homeomorphism between $((0, 1], \delta)$ and $([1, +\infty), d)$, which is closed in (\mathbf{R}, d) complete, hence complete. Uniformly continuous homeomorphisms preserve completeness so $((0, 1], \delta)$ is complete.

(f) No: the results of (a), (d) and (e) prove that $((0, 1], d)$ and $((0, 1], \delta)$ are homeomorphic (through Id), but only the latter is complete.

3. (*Weierstrass' Theorem via Dirac sequences.*) If f and g are functions on the real line, their *convolution* is the function $f \star g$ defined by

$$(f \star g)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x-t)g(t) dt$$

if it makes sense.

(a) Verify that \star is well-defined on the space $C_c(\mathbf{R})$ of continuous functions on \mathbf{R} that vanish outside of a compact subset, and that it is commutative and distributive with respect to addition.

A *Dirac sequence* is a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of non-negative functions in $C_c(\mathbf{R})$, satisfying the following conditions

$$(1) \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}, \int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi_n(t) dt = 1$$

$$(2) \quad \forall \alpha > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\alpha, \alpha]} \varphi_n(t) dt = 0$$

(b) Assume given a Dirac sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Prove that if $f \in C_c(\mathbf{R})$, then $\varphi_n \star f$ converges uniformly to f .

Hint: Continuous functions with compact support are uniformly continuous.

For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, consider

$$a_n = \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^n dt \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_n : t \mapsto \begin{cases} (1-t^2)^n/a_n & \text{if } |t| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(c) Prove that $\{\pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a Dirac sequence.

(d) Assume that $f \in C_c(\mathbf{R})$ is supported in the segment $I = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Prove that $f \star \pi_n$ is a polynomial function on I .

(e) Derive Weierstrass' Approximation Theorem: every continuous function on the segment $[a, b]$ is the uniform limit of a sequence of polynomial functions.

Solution. (a) The integrand defining $f \star g$ is compactly supported if f and g are so the convolution is well-defined. Distributivity follows from the distributivity of multiplication over addition in \mathbf{R} and the additivity of integrals. Use the change of variables $u = x - t$ to verify that \star is commutative.

(b) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is continuous and compactly supported, it is uniformly continuous. Therefore, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|x - y| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

For $x \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f \star \varphi_n(x) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x-t)\varphi_n(t) dt - \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x)\varphi_n(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} (f(x-t) - f(x))\varphi_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |f(x-t) - f(x)| \varphi_n(t) dt + \int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus (-\delta, \delta)} |f(x-t) - f(x)| \varphi_n(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (2) implies that $\int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\alpha, \alpha]} \varphi_n(t) dt < \varepsilon$ when n is large enough, in which case

$$|f \star \varphi_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \varphi_n(t) dt + 2\|f\|_{\infty}\varepsilon \leq (1 + 2\|f\|_{\infty})\varepsilon.$$

The upper bound is independent of x and can be made arbitrarily small for n large enough, so $\|f \star \varphi_n - f\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

(c) Non-negativity is immediate and the normalization condition (1) follows from a direct calculation. Note that $\int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\alpha, \alpha]} \pi_n(t) dt = 0$ if $\alpha \geq 1$ and that

$$a_n = 2 \int_0^1 (1-t^2)^n dt \geq 2 \int_0^1 (1-t)^n dt = \frac{2}{n+1}.$$

For $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $n \geq 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\alpha, \alpha]} \pi_n(t) dt &= \frac{2}{a_n} \int_{\alpha}^1 (1-t^2)^n dt \\ &\leq \frac{2}{a_n} (1-\alpha^2)^n \leq (n+1) \underbrace{(1-\alpha^2)^n}_{<1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

(d) First observe that $\pi_n(x-t)$ is polynomial in x . To fix notations, we write

$$\pi_n(x-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_k(t) x^k.$$

Then, for x in the support of the convolution,

$$(f * \pi_n)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \left(\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(t) c_k(t) dt \right) x^k$$

which is a polynomial expression.

(e) It follows from the previous results that a continuous function with compact support in $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ is a uniform limit of polynomial functions. Now let f be a continuous function defined on a segment $[a, b]$. Then f extends to a compactly supported continuous function \tilde{f} : consider for instance \tilde{f} to be 0 outside of $[a-1, b+1]$, equal to f on $[a, b]$ and affine elsewhere.

Now consider the affine transformation $h : t \mapsto (b-a+2)t + \frac{a+b}{2}$. It is a homeomorphism between $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $[a-1, b+1]$ so the composition $f \circ h$ is continuous and compactly supported in $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Therefore, there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of polynomial functions that converges uniformly on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ to $f \circ h$.

Notice that h^{-1} is also an affine function so that $\{p_n \circ h^{-1}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a sequence of polynomial functions on $[a, b]$. We will prove that this sequence converges uniformly to f . For $x \in [a, b]$,

$$|p_n \circ h^{-1}(x) - f(x)| = |p_n \circ h^{-1}(x) - f \circ h(h^{-1}(x))| \leq \|p_n - f \circ h\|_{\infty}.$$

The upper bound is independent of x and converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, showing that $p_n \circ h^{-1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f$ uniformly on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$.

4. (Uniform limits of polynomial functions.) Let $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a sequence of polynomial functions on \mathbf{R} .

(a) Prove that if P_n converges uniformly on \mathbf{R} to a function f , then f is polynomial.

(b) Compare with the previous problem.

Solution. **(a)** If it converges, then $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ must be a Cauchy sequence, so there exists an integer N such that $\|P_n - P_N\|_\infty < 1$ for any $n \geq N$. The polynomials $P_n - P_N$ are therefore bounded, hence constant. In other words there are constants α_n such that

$$P_n = P_N + \alpha_n$$

for $n \geq N$. The sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq N}$ is Cauchy in \mathbf{R} hence converges to some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and

$$f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_N + \alpha_n = P_N + \alpha$$

is a polynomial function.

(b) Weierstrass' Theorem asserts that any continuous function on a compact interval of \mathbf{R} is a uniform limit of polynomial functions, meaning that polynomial functions are dense. On the entire real line however, uniform limits of polynomial functions are polynomial, so polynomial functions are a closed subset.

5. (Hölder maps.) A function $f \in C([0, 1])$ is said to be α -Hölder if

$$h_\alpha(f) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}$$

is finite. For $M > 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, denote

$$H_{\alpha, M} = \{f \in C([0, 1], \mathbf{R}), h_\alpha(f) \leq M \text{ and } \|f\|_\infty \leq M\}.$$

(a) Prove that $H_{\alpha, M}$ is compact in $(C([0, 1], \mathbf{R}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.

(b) State Hölder's Inequality for functions on \mathbf{R}_+ .

(c) Assume $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$ with $p > 1$ and define F by:

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Prove that F is $\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ -Hölder.

Solution. **(a)** The Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem implies that it suffices to check that $H_{\alpha, M}$ is closed, bounded and equicontinuous. The set in question is the intersection of the closed ball $B_c(0, M)$ and $F_M = \{f \in C([0, 1]), h_\alpha(f) \leq M\}$, so it is automatically bounded and it is enough to check that F_M is closed. To do so, consider a sequence

$\{f_n\}$ of functions in F_M , that converges to f in $C([0, 1])$. The pointwise convergence of the sequence implies that

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq M$$

for every $x \neq y$ so F_M is closed.

To establish equicontinuity, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and verify that $\delta = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ is an appropriate modulus of continuity.

(b) If $u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and $v \in L^q(\mathbf{R}_+)$ with p and q conjugate, that is, satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, the pointwise product uv is integrable on \mathbf{R}^+ and

$$\|uv\|_1 \leq \|u\|_p \|v\|_q.$$

(c) Let $0 \leq x < y$ and apply Hölder's Inequality with $u = f$ and $v = \mathbf{1}_{[x,y]}$.

6. (Weierstrass' Theorem.) Look up and summarize a different proof of Weierstrass' Approximation Theorem.

Solution. A classical proof consists in considering Bernstein polynomials: for f continuous on $[0, 1]$, one can prove that the sequence of polynomials $\{B_n(f)\}_{n \geq 1}$ defined by

$$B_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

converges to f uniformly on $[0, 1]$.

A more general statement, due to M. Stone, characterizes dense subalgebras of $C_0(X)$ where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space.