

C^* -algebras of real reductive groups

Tyrone Crisp

University of Maine

AIM RTNCG: C^* -Algebras for Representation Theorists

7 & 14 June 2021

Our goal

G : real reductive group (eg $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, etc)

$C_r^*(G)$: norm closure of $C_c(G)$ in $B(L^2G)$

Goal: Compute $C_r^*(G)$ in some useful way.

Theorem [A. Wassermann]: $C_r^*(G) \underset{\text{Morita}}{\sim} \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W'_\sigma) \rtimes R_\sigma$

- What does 'useful' mean? Eg: a way that helps us compute K -theory (see Peter Hochs's second lecture)
- Why $C_r^*(G)$ and not $C^*(G)$? $\widehat{C^*(G)} \cong \widehat{G}_u$, $\widehat{C_r^*(G)} \cong \widehat{G}_{\text{temp}}$.
So $C_r^*(G)$ is much easier to understand than $C^*(G)$.
- How is $C_r^*(G)$ different to $\mathcal{C}(G)$, $\mathcal{S}(G)$, ...? C_0 functions are much easier to work with than, eg, Schwartz functions or C_c^∞ functions.

Some resources

For this C^* -algebra computation:

- A. Wassermann [Comptes Rendus, 1987]
- Penington-Plymen [JFA 1983]
- Plymen [JFA, 1990]
- Leung-Plymen [Compositio, 1991]
- Clare-Crisp-Higson [Compositio, 2016]
- Afgoustidis-Aubert [arXiv:2002.12864]

Representation theory: We'll use lots of deep RT theorems [Harish-Chandra, Langlands, Knapp-Stein, ...]. Read about them here:

- Knapp [Overview, 1986]
- Wallach [RRGs vols 1&2, 1988&1992]

C^* -algebras: We'll use mostly 'classical' theory [Gelfand-Naimark, Segal, Kadison, Kaplansky, ...]. Almost everything we'll need is in

- Dixmier [C^* -algèbres, 1964; C^* -algebras, 1977]

C^* -algebra reminders

- A C^* -algebra is a \mathbb{C} -algebra with a complete norm and an involution $*$ (conjugate-linear, $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$), with $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$.
- A homomorphism of C^* -algebras is a linear map compatible with multiplication and involution.

Theorem: Every homomorphism of C^* -algebras is continuous and has closed range. Every isomorphism of C^* -algebras is isometric.

- Examples:**
- $C_0(X)$: continuous functions vanishing at ∞
 - $B(H)/K(H)$: bounded/compact operators on a Hilbert space H
 - $C_0(X, K(H))$: operator-valued C_0 functions
 - Direct sums: $\bigoplus_i A_i = \{(a_i) \mid \|a_i\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty\}$
 - $C^*(G)$ and its quotient $C_r^*(G)$, for G a locally compact group
- C^* -algebras often don't have 1 (eg $C_0(X)$, $K(H)$) ... and that's often not a problem (approximate identities; adjoining an identity; multiplier algebras).

C^* -algebra reminders, continued

— Representation of A : homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$

Examples: • $K(H)$ has only one irrep, namely H

• G real reductive: irreps of $C_r^*(G) \longleftrightarrow \widehat{G}_{\text{temp}}$ [Harish-Chandra]

— A is **liminal** (CCR) if $\pi(A) = K(H)$ for every irrep π .

Examples of liminal C^* -algebras: $C_0(X)$, $K(H)$, $C_0(X, K(H))$, ...

Theorem [Harish-Chandra]: G real reductive $\implies C_r^*(G)$ liminal.

— GNS construction: reps of C^* -algebras come from states (positive linear functionals of norm 1).

Then Hahn-Banach and Krein-Milman \implies

- the irreps of a C^* -algebra separate points
- irreps of a subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ extend to irreps of A
- Subalgebras of liminal algebras are liminal.

A Stone-Weierstrass theorem

Theorem [Kaplansky]: Suppose A liminal, $B \subseteq A$ a C^* -subalgebra, such that

- each irrep of A remains irreducible on B , and
- inequivalent irreps of A remain inequivalent on B .

Then $B = A$.

(This holds more generally: eg if A, B are separable and nuclear [Sakai].)

Examples: • Commutative case: Stone-Weierstrass

- $K(H)$ has no proper irreducible subalgebra (this is an ingredient in the proof, rather than a corollary)
- $A = C_0(X, K(H))$: If a subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ is a $C_0(X)$ -submodule, and if the point evals $b \mapsto b(x)$ are all surjective, then $B = A$.

6. CCR-algebras

I shall briefly describe how CCR-algebras started, and where they stand today. The material of §5 more or less covers the case where, for every primitive ideal P in the C^* -algebra A , A/P is finite-dimensional. At just about the time this work was completed, the important papers of Gelfand and Naimark on representations of semi-simple Lie groups were beginning to appear. One aspect of their results was the following: for the relevant C^* -algebras every A/P was the algebra of completely continuous operators on a Hilbert space. This encouraged me to see whether some progress was possible on this class of C^* -algebras. The unimaginative name CCR stands for “completely continuous representations”. I stand ready to yield to Dixmier’s “liminaire”, except that I confess that I do not know what it means. At any rate, it turned out that the fibre bundle type of result survived, when appropriately formulated.

[CBMS lecture notes, 1970]

Real reductive groups

A **real reductive group** is (for us) a closed subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, closed under transpose, that is the group of real points of a connected reductive algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} .

Examples: $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, \dots

Write $G = M_G \times A_G$ where A_G is the split component and $M_G = {}^0G = \{g \in G \mid \chi(g) = \pm 1 \text{ for all homs } \chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times\}$.

Examples: • $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $M_G = G$, $A_G = \{1\}$

• $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $M_G = \{\det g = \pm 1\}$, $A_G = \{a \cdot 1 \mid a > 0\}$

Note that $\exp : \mathfrak{a}_G \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}} A_G$ is a group isomorphism.

Discrete series

$$G = M_G A_G \quad \mathfrak{a}_G \cong A_G \implies \mathfrak{a}_G^* \cong \widehat{A}_G: \quad \chi \longmapsto \exp(i\chi(\cdot))$$

Let $\sigma : M_G \rightarrow U(H_\sigma)$ be irreducible and **square-integrable**.

$$\rightsquigarrow \sigma \otimes \chi : G \rightarrow U(H_\sigma), \quad ma \mapsto \sigma(m)\chi(a), \quad \text{for each } \chi \in \mathfrak{a}_G^*$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \sigma \otimes \chi : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow B(H_\sigma), \quad f \mapsto \int_G f(g)(\sigma \otimes \chi)(g) dg$$

Lemma: $\pi_{G,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_0(\mathfrak{a}_G^*, K(H_\sigma))$, $\pi_{G,\sigma}(f)(\chi) = \sigma \otimes \chi(f)$ is a surjective homomorphism of C^* -algebras.

Proof: • $\sigma \otimes \chi(f) \in K(H_\sigma)$: Schur orthogonality on M_G

• $\pi_{G,\sigma}(f) \in C_0$: Fourier transform on A_G

• surjectivity: use Stone-Weierstrass. The $\sigma \otimes \chi$ are irreducible (they are on M_G) and pairwise inequivalent (they are on A_G). \square

Discrete series, continued

$$G = M_G A_G, \sigma \in \widehat{M}_{L^2} \rightsquigarrow \pi_{G,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \twoheadrightarrow C_0(\mathfrak{a}_G^*, K(H_\sigma))$$

Now consider all square-integrable σ at the same time:

Theorem: $\bigoplus \pi_{G,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}_{L^2}} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_G^*, K(H_\sigma))$ is a surjective $*$ -homomorphism

Proof: Surjectivity follows as before from Stone-Weierstrass.

Question: why does $C_r^*(G)$ map into the direct sum? (Recall that $\bigoplus_i A_i := \{(a_i) \mid \|a_i\| \rightarrow 0\}$)

Enter our first major input from representation theory:

Theorem [Harish-Chandra]: Each irreducible representation of K (maximal compact in G) appears in only finitely many σ .

The C^* -algebra theorem follows by considering the dense subspace of K -finite elements of $C_r^*(G)$. □

Parabolic subgroups

G : real reductive group

$P = L_P N_P = M_P A_P N_P$: a parabolic subgroup of G

Example: In $G = \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$:

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 & * \end{array} \right] \quad L_P = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & * \end{array} \right] \quad N_P = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$A_P = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & b \end{array} \right] \quad M_P = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} m & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \pm 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$(a, b > 0)$ $(\det m = \pm 1)$

Parabolic subgroups, continued

Parabolic subgroup $P = L_P N_P = M_P A_P N_P \subseteq G$

Properties:

- L_P is a real reductive group
- $P \cong L_P \rtimes N_P$
- $L_P \cong M_P \times A_P$
- $\exp : \mathfrak{a}_P \xrightarrow{\cong} A_P \left(\implies \mathfrak{a}_P^* \cong \widehat{A}_P \right)$
- $G = KP$ for maximal compact K (note that $K \cap P \neq \{1\}$)
- There are only finitely many P , up to conjugacy

Parabolic induction

$P = M_P A_P N_P$ parabolic subgroup of G

$\sigma \in \widehat{M}_{L^2}$, $\chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^* \rightsquigarrow \sigma \otimes \chi \in \widehat{P}$: $\sigma \otimes \chi(man) = \sigma(m)\chi(a)$

Parabolic induction: $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ is the unitary representation of G induced from $\sigma \otimes \chi$.

We use the **compact picture** of $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$:

$G = KP \implies \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi) \cong \text{Ind}_{K \cap M_P}^K(\sigma)$ over K

\implies all $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ can be realised on the same space $\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma$

Lemma: $\pi_{P,\sigma}(f)(\chi) := \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)(f)$ defines a C^* -algebra homomorphism $\pi_{P,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, \mathbf{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))$. □

Goal: characterise the image of $\pi_{P,\sigma}$.

Intertwining operators

Fix P and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}_{L^2} \rightsquigarrow \pi_{P,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, \mathcal{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))$

When $P = G$: the $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ are irreducible and pairwise inequivalent $\implies \pi_{G,\sigma}$ is surjective.

When $P \neq G$ there are nontrivial **intertwining operators** between the $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$, and $\pi_{P,\sigma}$ is not surjective.

'Weyl' groups: • $W_P := \text{Norm}_G(A_P) / \text{Cent}_G(A_P)$; a finite group, acting by conjugation on \mathfrak{a}_P^* and on \widehat{M}_P .

- For each $\sigma \in \widehat{M}_{L^2}$: $W_\sigma := \{w \in W_P \mid w\sigma \cong \sigma\}$
- For each $\chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*$: $W_{\sigma,\chi} := \{w \in W_\sigma \mid w\chi = \chi\}$

Intertwining operators, continued

Fix P and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}_{L^2} \rightsquigarrow \pi_{P,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, \mathcal{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))$

$$W_\sigma = \{w \in W_P \mid w\sigma \cong \sigma\} \quad W_{\sigma,\chi} = \{w \in W_\sigma \mid w\chi = \chi\}$$

Theorem [Knapp-Stein, Harish-Chandra]: There are unitary operators $I(w, \chi) \in \mathcal{U}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma)$ ($w \in W_\sigma, \chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*$) satisfying:

- $\chi \mapsto I(w, \chi)$ is strongly continuous $\mathfrak{a}_P^* \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma)$
- $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes w\chi)(g) \circ I(w, \chi) = I(w, \chi) \circ \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)(g)$
- $I(w_1, w_2\chi) \circ I(w_2, \chi) = I(w_1 w_2, \chi)$
- $\text{span}\{I(w, \chi) \mid w \in W_{\sigma,\chi}\} = \text{End}_G(\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi))$ for each $\chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*$

Intertwining operators on the C^* -algebra

Fix P and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}_{L^2} \rightsquigarrow \pi_{P,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))$

$I(w, \chi) \in U(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma)$ unitary intertwiners

Define: for each $w \in W_\sigma$:

- $u_w \in C(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, U(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))$ by $u_w(\chi) = I(w, w^{-1}\chi)$
- $\alpha_w \in \text{Aut}(C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma)))$ by $\alpha_w(f)(\chi) = f(w^{-1}\chi)$
- $\beta_w \in \text{Aut}(C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma)))$ by $\beta_w(f) = u_w \alpha_w(f) u_w^*$

So: $\beta_w(f) : \chi \mapsto I(w, w^{-1}\chi) \circ f(w^{-1}\chi) \circ I(w, w^{-1}\chi)^*$

Define $C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$ to be the β -invariant subalgebra.

Theorem: $\pi_{P,\sigma}(C_r^*(G)) = C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$

\subseteq : intertwining property of $I(w, \chi)$. \supseteq : we'll use Stone-Weierstrass

Representations of $C_0(X, K(H))^W$

Given:

X : locally compact Hausdorff H : Hilbert space

W : finite group acting on X \rightsquigarrow on functions: $\alpha_w(f) := f \circ w^{-1}$

$u : W \rightarrow C(X, U(H))$ with $u_{w_1} \alpha_{w_1}(u_{w_2}) = u_{w_1 w_2}$

Define:

$\beta : W \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X, K(H)))$: $\beta_w(f) = u_w \alpha_w(f) u_w^*$

$C_0(X, K(H))^W$: the β -fixed subalgebra

Goal: Compute the irreps of the C^* -algebra $C_0(X, K(H))^W$.

Representations of $C_0(X, K(H))^W$, continued

Goal: Compute the irreps of the C^* -algebra $C_0(X, K(H))^W$.

- Every irrep of $C_0(X, K(H))^W$ occurs in an irrep of $C_0(X, K(H))$
- Irreps of the latter are $\text{eval}_x : C_0(X, K(H)) \rightarrow K(H)$
- $\text{eval}_x(C_0(X, K(H))^W) = K_{W_x}(H)$, compact operators that commute with the unitary representation $w \mapsto u_w(x)$ of the isotropy group W_x .
- $K_{W_x}(H) = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \widehat{W}_x} K_{W_x}(H_\rho \otimes H'_\rho) \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in \widehat{W}_x} K(H'_\rho)$

Lemma: As x ranges over X/W and ρ ranges over \widehat{W}_x the maps

$$C_0(X, K(H))^W \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_x} K_{W_x}(H) \xrightarrow{\text{compress}} K_{W_x}(H_\rho \otimes H'_\rho) \cong K(H'_\rho)$$

are a complete set of inequivalent irreps of $C_0(X, K(H))^W$. \square

Representations of $C_0(\mathfrak{a}_\rho^*, K(\text{Ind}_\rho^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$

Lemma: As x ranges over X/W and ρ ranges over \widehat{W}_x the maps

$$C_0(X, K(H))^W \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_x} K_{W_x}(H) \xrightarrow{\text{compress}} K_{W_x}(H_\rho \otimes H'_\rho) \cong K(H'_\rho)$$

are a complete set of inequivalent irreps of $C_0(X, K(H))^W$. \square

Theorem: As χ ranges over $\mathfrak{a}_\rho^*/W_\sigma$, and L_τ ranges over the set of irreducible subrepresentations of $\text{Ind}_\rho^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$, the maps

$$C_0(\mathfrak{a}_\rho^*, K(\text{Ind}_\rho^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma} \xrightarrow{\text{eval}_\chi} K_{W_{\sigma,\chi}}(\text{Ind}_\rho^G H_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{compress}} K(L_\tau)$$

are a complete set of inequivalent irreps of $C_0(\mathfrak{a}_\rho^*, K(\text{Ind}_\rho^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$.

Proof: Recall $\text{span}\{I(w, \chi) \mid w \in W_{\sigma,\chi}\} = \text{End}_G(\text{Ind}_\rho^G(\sigma \otimes \chi))$. So the $W_{\sigma,\chi}$ -isotypical components in $\text{Ind}_\rho^G H_\sigma$ are precisely the G -isotypical components of $\text{Ind}_\rho^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$. \square

$C_r^*(G)$ up to isomorphism

Recall: $P = M_P A_P N_P$ parabolic subgroup of G ; $\sigma \in \widehat{M}_{L^2}$

$$\rightsquigarrow \pi_{P,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$$

Theorem: $\bigoplus \pi_{P,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$ is an isomorphism of C^* -algebras.

$[P, \sigma]$ ranges over the set of association classes of pairs (P, σ) (conjugacy of M_P and σ).

Proof: Three main parts. Each part relies on a big theorem from representation theory.

Part 1: The map goes into the direct sum. We use:

Theorem [Harish-Chandra]: For each P , each irrep of $K \cap M_P$ occurs in only finitely many σ .

Then by Frobenius reciprocity, each irrep of K occurs in only finitely many $\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma$. So K -finite elements of $C_r^*(G)$ map into the direct sum, and K -finite elements are dense. □

$C_r^*(G)$ up to isomorphism, continued

Theorem: $\bigoplus \pi_{P,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$ is an isomorphism of C^* -algebras.

Part 2: The map is injective. We use:

Theorem [Langlands, Trombi]: Each $\pi \in \widehat{G}_{\text{temp}}$ occurs in some $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$.

The kernel of $\bigoplus \pi_{P,\sigma}$ is therefore the intersection of the kernels of all of the irreducible representations of $C_r^*(G)$. But irreducible representations separate points in a C^* -algebra. \square

$C_r^*(G)$ up to isomorphism, concluded

Theorem: $\bigoplus \pi_{P,\sigma} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$ is an isomorphism of C^* -algebras.

Part 3: The map is surjective. We use:

Theorem [Langlands]: The only coincidences between irreducible subreps of $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ s are the ones coming from conjugacy.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Recall: irreps of } \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma} \\ &= \bigsqcup_{[P,\sigma]} \bigsqcup_{\chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*/W_\sigma} \{ \text{irreducible } G\text{-subreps of } \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi) \} \end{aligned}$$

Restricted to the image of $C_r^*(G)$, those representations remain irreducible (obviously) and inequivalent (Langlands).

Everything in sight is liminal, so Kaplansky's Stone-Weierstrass theorem applies. □

Morita equivalence for C^* -algebras

$A, B : C^*$ -algebras. What should $A \underset{\text{Morita}}{\sim} B$ mean?

- (1) Morita equivalent as rings (if they both have 1)?
 - (2) Equivalent categories of Hilbert-space representations?
 - (3) Equivalent categories of Hilbert modules?
-

(2) is a measure-theoretic notion rather than a topological one: eg $C([0, 1]) \underset{(2)}{\sim} C(S^1)$.

(An interesting theorem [Beer]: A is type I $\iff A \underset{(2)}{\sim} C_0(X)$.)

(1) (in the unital case) and (3) (+ some conditions) turn out to be the right notion for most purposes.

The definition was originally formulated in a different way; see [Beer, 1982] and [Blecher, 1997] for the equivalence with (1) and (3).

Morita equivalence for C^* -algebras, continued

Definition [Rieffel, 1974]: A Morita equivalence between A and B is a bimodule ${}_A M_B$ with inner products ${}_A \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_B$ (values in A and B), satisfying:

- all of the C^* -module axioms (see Peter's first lecture)
- ${}_A \langle mb | n \rangle = {}_A \langle m | nb^* \rangle$ and $\langle am | n \rangle_B = \langle m | a^* n \rangle_B$
- $\overline{\text{span}}_A \langle M | M \rangle = A$ and $\overline{\text{span}} \langle M | M \rangle_B = B$
- ${}_A \langle l | m \rangle n = l \langle m | n \rangle_B$

Examples: • $K(H) \underset{M}{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ via the bimodule ${}_K H_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ as given, and ${}_K \langle h | k \rangle = |h\rangle \langle k| : l \mapsto h \langle k | l \rangle$.

• $C_0(X, K(H)) \underset{M}{\sim} C_0(X)$ via the bimodule $C_0(X, H)$

Properties: $A \underset{M}{\sim} B \implies \widehat{A} \cong \widehat{B}$, $\text{Prim}(A) \cong \text{Prim}(B)$,
 $\text{Rep}(A) \cong \text{Rep}(B)$, $K_*(A) \cong K_*(B) \dots$

Back to reductive groups

G : a real reductive group (eg GL_n or SL_n over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}). Last time:

Theorem: $C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$

- $P = M_P A_P N_P$: standard parabolic subgroup; σ an irreducible square-integrable unitary rep of M_P
- \mathfrak{a}_P^* is a real vector space, and W_σ is a finite group of linear automorphisms
- $\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma$ is the Hilbert space (compact picture) underlying the family of unitary reps $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ for $\chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^* \cong \widehat{A_P}$
- W_σ acts on $C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))$ via $\beta_w(f) = u_w \alpha_w(f) u_w^*$ where
 - $\alpha_w(f)(\chi) = f(w^{-1}\chi)$, and
 - $u_w(\chi) = I(w, w^{-1}\chi)$ (Knapp-Stein normalised intertwiners)

Our next goal

We know:

$$\text{Theorem: } C_r^*(G) \cong \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$$

Here's where we are heading:

$$\text{Theorem [Wassermann]: } C_r^*(G) \underset{M}{\sim} \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W'_\sigma) \rtimes R_\sigma$$

$\underset{M}{\sim}$ commutes with \bigoplus . So we fix one (P, σ) and aim to show

$$C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, K(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma} \underset{M}{\sim} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W'_\sigma) \rtimes R_\sigma$$

A thought experiment

Imagine that $I(w, \chi)$ is a scalar, for every $w \in W_\sigma$ and $\chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*$.
(this almost never happens)

Then we have $\beta_w(f) = u_w \alpha_w(f) u_w^* = \alpha_w(f)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, \mathbf{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma} &\cong C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W_\sigma, \mathbf{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma)) \\ &\underset{\text{M}}{\sim} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W_\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

(this sometimes happens)

Moral: To understand $C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, \mathbf{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$ we need to understand scalar/non-scalar intertwiners

The R -group

Theorem [Knapp-Stein]: Set $W'_{\sigma,\chi} = \{w \in W_{\sigma,\chi} \mid I(w, \chi) \text{ scalar}\}$.

(1) $W_{\sigma,\chi} = W'_{\sigma,\chi} \rtimes R_{\sigma,\chi}$ for some subgroup $R_{\sigma,\chi} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$

(2) $\{I(r, \chi) \mid r \in R_{\sigma,\chi}\}$ is linearly independent

(3) $W'_{\sigma,\chi} \subseteq W'_\sigma$, and $R_{\sigma,\chi} \hookrightarrow W_\sigma \twoheadrightarrow R_\sigma$ is injective.

(4) The $I(w, \chi)$ can (and will) be normalised so that $I(w, \chi) = 1$ for all χ and all $w \in W'_{\sigma,\chi}$.

Notation: $q_\chi : W_{\sigma,\chi} \xrightarrow{\text{quotient}} R_{\sigma,\chi}$, $\lambda_\chi : R_{\sigma,\chi} \xrightarrow{\text{regular}} \text{U}(\ell^2 R_{\sigma,\chi})$

Corollary: The reps $w \mapsto I(w, \chi)$ and $w \mapsto \lambda_0 \circ q_0(w)$ of $W_{\sigma,\chi}$ are quasi-equivalent (same irreducible constituents).

Proof: $I(\cdot, \chi) \xrightarrow{(2),(4)}_{\text{quasi}} (\lambda_\chi \circ q_\chi) \xrightarrow{(3)}_{\text{quasi}} (\lambda_0 \circ q_0)$. □

Morita equivalence for $C_0(X, K(H))^W$

Given:

X : locally compact Hausdorff H, L : Hilbert spaces

W : finite group acting on X \rightsquigarrow on functions: $\alpha_w(f) := f \circ w^{-1}$

$u : W \rightarrow C(X, U(H))$ and $v : W \rightarrow C(X, U(L))$

$u_{w_1} \alpha_{w_1}(u_{w_2}) = u_{w_1 w_2}$ and $v_{w_1} \alpha_{w_1}(v_{w_2}) = v_{w_1 w_2}$

Define:

$\beta : W \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X, K(H)))$: $\beta_w(f) = u_w \alpha_w(f) u_w^*$

$\gamma : W \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X, K(L)))$: $\gamma_w(f) = v_w \alpha_w(f) v_w^*$

Goal: when is $C_0(X, K(H))^W \underset{M}{\sim} C_0(X, K(L))^W$?

Morita equivalence for $C_0(X, K(H))^W$, continued

For each $x \in X$, $u(x) : W \rightarrow U(H)$ and $v(x) : W \rightarrow U(L)$ are unitary representations of the isotropy group W_x .

Theorem: If $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ are quasi-equivalent for each $x \in X$ then $C_0(X, K(H))^W \underset{M}{\sim} C_0(X, K(L))^W$.

Proof: set $A = C_0(X, K(L))^W$, $B = C_0(X, K(H))^W$, and $M = C_0(X, K(H, L))^W$ where $w(f) = v_w \alpha_w(f) u_w^*$

- M is an A - B bimodule (pointwise composition)
- Inner products: ${}_A \langle f_1 \mid f_2 \rangle = f_1 f_2^*$, $\langle f_1 \mid f_2 \rangle_B = f_1^* f_2$.
- Most conditions are easy to check (embed everything into the C^* -algebra $C_0(X, K(H \oplus L))$).

The work: $\overline{\text{span}} \langle M \mid M \rangle_B = B$ and $\overline{\text{span}}_A \langle M \mid M \rangle = A$

Morita equivalence for $C_0(X, K(H))^W$, continued

We're left to show:

$$(??) \quad C_0(X, K(H))^W = \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ f_1^* f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in C_0(X, K(H, L))^W \right\}$$

Recall Kaplansky's Stone-Weierstrass theorem: LHS=RHS if

- (1) irreps of LHS remain irreducible on RHS; and
- (2) inequivalent irreps of LHS remain inequivalent on RHS

Recall irreps of LHS factor through pointwise evaluations

$$C_0(X, K(H))^W \longrightarrow K_{W_x}(H)$$

with equivalences arising only if $W_x = W_{x'}$.

Morita equivalence for $C_0(X, K(H))^W$, concluded

We're left to show:

$$(??) \quad C_0(X, K(H))^W = \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ f_1^* f_2 \mid f_1, f_2 \in C_0(X, K(H, L))^W \right\}$$

- (1) irreps of LHS remain irreducible on RHS; and
- (2) inequivalent irreps of LHS remain inequivalent on RHS

— Evaluating (??) at x gives:

$$K_{W_x}(H) = \overline{\text{span}} \{ k_1^* k_2 \mid k_1, k_2 \in K_{W_x}(H, L) \}$$

which is true because H and L are quasi-equivalent over W_x .

— So (1) holds, and (2) holds for irreps sitting over the same x .

— RHS of (??) is a $C_0(X/W)$ -submodule, so (2) holds for irreps sitting over different x . □

Back to $C_r^*(G)$

Return to $C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, \mathbf{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$, where W_σ acts via

$$u_w(\chi) = I(w, w^{-1}\chi) \quad (w \in W_\sigma, \chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*)$$

Recall: $W_\sigma = W'_\sigma \rtimes R_\sigma$, $q: W_\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{quot}} R_\sigma$, $\lambda: R_\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{reg}} \mathbf{U}(\ell^2 R_\sigma)$

Recall [Knapp-Stein]: $u(\chi) \underset{\text{quasi}}{\sim} (\lambda \circ q)|_{W_{\sigma, \chi}}$ for every χ .

Corollary: $C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, \mathbf{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma} \underset{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*, \mathbf{K}(\ell^2 R_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$
 $\underset{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W'_\sigma, \mathbf{K}(\ell^2 R_\sigma))^{R_\sigma}$

where R_σ acts by $r(f) = \lambda(r)\alpha_r(f)\lambda(r)^*$.

The last piece

X : locally compact Hausdorff, R : finite group acting on X

Let R act on $C_0(X, K(\ell^2 R))$ by $r(f) = \lambda(r)\alpha_r(f)\lambda(r)^*$.

Lemma: $C_0(X, K(\ell^2 R))^R \cong C_0(X) \rtimes R$

Proof: Recall that $C_0(X) \rtimes R = \{ \sum_{r \in R} f_r r \mid f_r \in C_0(X) \}$ with $rf = \alpha_r(f)r$ and $r^* = r^{-1}$.

Let $\{ \varepsilon_s \mid s \in R \}$ be the standard basis for $\ell^2 R$.

Define $\Phi : C_0(X) \rtimes R \rightarrow C_0(X, K(\ell^2 R))^R$ by

$$\Phi(fr)(x) : \varepsilon_s \mapsto f(rs^{-1}x)\varepsilon_{sr^{-1}}$$

and $\Psi : C_0(X, K(\ell^2 R))^R \rightarrow C_0(X) \rtimes R$ by

$$\Psi(f) = \sum_{r \in R} \langle \varepsilon_1 \mid f(\cdot)\varepsilon_r \rangle r.$$

They're inverse isomorphisms of C^* -algebras. □

The end result

Theorem [A. Wassermann]: $C_r^*(G) \underset{M}{\sim} \bigoplus_{[P,\sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W'_\sigma) \rtimes R_\sigma$

Example: G complex semisimple: take $L = MA$ minimal

$$C_r^*(G) \underset{M}{\sim} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}/W} C_0(\mathfrak{a}^*/W_\sigma) = C_0(\widehat{L}/W) = C_0(\widehat{G}_{\text{temp}})$$

Example: $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$C_r^*(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) \underset{M}{\sim} C_0(\mathbb{R}/\{\pm 1\}) \oplus (C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \{\pm 1\}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\text{countable}} \mathbb{C}$$

p -adic groups? [Plymen et al], [Afgoustidis-Aubert]

- non-trivial cocycles from choices of isomorphisms $w\sigma \cong \sigma$
- \rightsquigarrow twisted crossed products
- you don't always have $W'_{\sigma,\chi} \subseteq W'_\sigma$ and $R_{\sigma,\chi} \hookrightarrow R_\sigma \dots$ but sometimes you do, and then the same arguments apply

$\widehat{G}_{\text{temp}}$ as a topological space

For each $[P, \sigma]$ and each $\chi \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*$ let $R_\sigma^\chi = \{r \in R_\sigma \mid r\chi \in W'_\sigma\chi\}$.

Define equivalence on $(\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W_\sigma) \times \widehat{R}_\sigma$ by

$$(W_\sigma\chi, \rho) \sim (W_\sigma\chi', \rho') \iff W_\sigma\chi = W_\sigma\chi' \text{ and } \rho|_{R_\sigma^\chi} = \rho'|_{R_\sigma^{\chi'}}$$

Now a general theorem of [Williams, 1981] gives:

Corollary: $\widehat{G}_r \cong \bigsqcup_{[P, \sigma]} \left((\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W_\sigma) \times \widehat{R}_\sigma \right) / \sim$

See [Echterhoff, 2017], [Echterhoff-Emerson, 2011] for more about the duals of crossed products.

Example: $\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W_\sigma \rightarrow \widehat{G}_r$, $W_\sigma\chi \mapsto (W_\sigma\chi, \text{triv}_{R_\sigma})$ embeds $\mathfrak{a}_P^*/W_\sigma$ as a dense open subset of the $[P, \sigma]$ -component of \widehat{G}_r .

Coda: parabolic induction, C^* -algebraically

G real reductive, $P = MAN$ parabolic.

Theorem [Clare]: There is a C^* -module ${}_{C_r^*(G)}C_r^*(G/N) \langle \! \langle \! \rangle \! \rangle_{C_r^*(L)}$ with

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^G H \cong C_r^*(G/N) \otimes_{C_r^*(L)} H$$

for all tempered unitary reps H of L . Moreover, $C_r^*(G)$ acts on $C_r^*(G/N)$ by 'compact' operators (in the Hilbert C^* -module sense).

Idea: for finite groups $\mathrm{ind}_H^G V \cong \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} V$, which clarifies some properties of induction (Frobenius reciprocity, Mackey's induction-restriction formula, etc).

Does $C_r^*(G/N)$ do the same for Ind_P^G ?

Tempered parabolic restriction

$M_B^{\langle | \rangle}$, $A \rightarrow K(M) \rightsquigarrow$ Frobenius reciprocity for operator modules

... and in special cases also for Hilbert space representations:

Theorem [Clare-Crisp-Higson]: There is a $C_r^*(G)$ -valued inner product on $C_r^*(G/N)$.

Proof: $K(C_r^*(G/N))^{W_P} \cong \bigoplus_{[Q, \sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_Q^*, K(\text{Ind}_Q^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$ (over $Q \subseteq L$) is a direct-summand of $C_r^*(G)$, and we use the inner product $\langle f_1 | f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_w w (|f_1\rangle \langle f_2|)$. □

This gives a functor $\text{Res}_P^G : \mathcal{U}_{\text{temp}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\text{temp}}(L)$ adjoint to Ind_P^G .

Example: $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, $P =$ upper-triangular, $\tau \in \widehat{L}$:

$$\text{Res}_P^G \text{Ind}_P^G(\tau) = \begin{cases} \tau & \text{if } \tau = \text{triv}_L \\ \tau \oplus \tau^{-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Tempered parabolic restriction

$M_B^{\langle | \rangle}$, $A \rightarrow K(M) \rightsquigarrow$ Frobenius reciprocity for operator modules

... and in special cases also for Hilbert space representations:

Theorem [Clare-Crisp-Higson]: There is a $C_r^*(G)$ -valued inner product on $C_r^*(G/N)$.

Proof: $K(C_r^*(G/N))^{W_P} \cong \bigoplus_{[Q, \sigma]} C_0(\mathfrak{a}_Q^*, K(\text{Ind}_Q^G H_\sigma))^{W_\sigma}$ (over $Q \subseteq L$) is a direct-summand of $C_r^*(G)$, and we use the inner product $\langle f_1 | f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_w w (|f_1\rangle \langle f_2|)$. □

This gives a functor $\text{Res}_P^G : U_{\text{temp}}(G) \rightarrow U_{\text{temp}}(L)$ adjoint to Ind_P^G .

Example: $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, $P =$ upper-triangular, $\tau \in \widehat{L}$:

$$\text{Res}_P^G \text{Ind}_P^G(\tau) = \begin{cases} \tau & \text{if } \tau = \text{triv}_L \\ \tau \oplus \tau^{-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thanks for having me!