

# Carathéodory interpolation problem over quaternions and related questions

Vladimir Bolotnikov

GAG seminar at W&M

The problem consists of finding a function from a given functional class with prescribed Taylor coefficients about a given point.

The classical versions are concerned about functions  $f$  analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  and such that  $|f(z)| < 1$  (the **Schur class**  $\mathcal{S}$ ) or  $\Re f(z) > 0$  (the **Carathéodory class**  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

**C. Carathéodory (1907)** described the set of all points  $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that there exists

$$f(z) = 1 + c_1 z + \dots + c_n z^n + \dots \in \mathcal{C}$$

as the closed convex body in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  whose boundary points correspond to rational functions

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \cdot \frac{\lambda_j + z}{\lambda_j - z} \quad (\gamma_j \geq 0, \quad |\lambda_j| = 1)$$

taking purely imaginary values everywhere on the unit circle except for at most  $n$  simple poles.

C. Carathéodory (1911, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo) Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then any  $x \in E$  in the convex hull of  $E$  is a convex combination of at most  $n + 1$  points from  $E$ .

O. Toeplitz (1911): there exists

$$f(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + \dots + c_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots \in \mathcal{C} \quad (1)$$

if and only if  $Q_n = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 + \bar{c}_0 & \bar{c}_1 & \dots & \bar{c}_{n-1} \\ c_1 & c_0 + \bar{c}_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \bar{c}_1 \\ c_{n-1} & \dots & c_1 & c_0 + \bar{c}_0 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$

To construct a concrete  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  as in (1), it suffices to extend the given  $\{c_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$  to an infinite positive-definite sequence  $\{c_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  (such that  $Q_k \succeq 0$  for  $k \geq n$ ). Such an extension always exists and is unique if and only if  $Q_n$  is singular. In this case,  $\text{rank } Q_k = \text{rank } Q_n$  for all  $k \geq n$ .

Another question: to describe all  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  as in (1) or all positive-definite extensions of  $\{c_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$  in the indeterminate case.

Let

$$\mathbf{T}_n^f = \begin{bmatrix} f_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ f_1 & f_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ f_{n-1} & \dots & f_1 & f_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if} \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k z^k.$$

Thus,  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{T}_k^f + \mathbf{T}_k^{f*} \succeq 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$  and either all these matrices are invertible or

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{T}_k^f + \mathbf{T}_k^{f*}) = \min(k, n) \quad \text{for some} \quad n \geq 0.$$

In the latter case,  $f$  is a rational function as on the page 1.

I. Schur (1917): given  $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}$ , there exists

$$f(z) = f_0 + f_1 z + \dots + f_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots \in \mathcal{S} \quad (2)$$

if and only if  $P_n = I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} \succeq 0$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{T}_n$  is a contraction). In this case  $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$  extends to  $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  such that  $P_k \succeq 0$  for  $k \geq n$ .

The extension is unique if and only if  $P_n$  is singular. In this case  $\text{rank} P_k = \text{rank} P_n$  for all  $k \geq n$  and the corresponding  $f$  is a finite Blaschke product,  $\deg f = \text{rank} P_n$ .

Thus,  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $P_k = I - \mathbf{T}_k^f \mathbf{T}_k^{f*} \succeq 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$  and either all these matrices are invertible or

$$\text{rank} (I - \mathbf{T}_k^f \mathbf{T}_k^{f*}) = \min(k, n) \quad \text{for some } n \geq 0.$$

In the latter case,  $f$  is a Blaschke product of degree  $n$ . In the indeterminate case, all  $f$  of the form (2) are described by a linear fractional formula.

Another question: If  $P_n = I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} \not\equiv 0$ , i.e., if  $\nu_-(P_n) = \kappa > 0$ , is it possible to extend it so that  $\nu_-(P_m) = \kappa$  for all  $m > n$ ?

M.G. Krein – H. Langer (1977): Yes, if  $P_n$  is invertible. Each such extension gives rise to a meromorphic  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\kappa$  poles and such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)| \leq 1$$

or equivalently, to a function of the form

$$f = \frac{s}{b} : s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad b(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa} \frac{z - a_i}{1 - z \bar{a}_i}, \quad |a_i| < 1.$$

# Quaternions

$$\mathbb{H} = \{\alpha = x_0 + \mathbf{i}x_1 + \mathbf{j}x_2 + \mathbf{k}x_3 : x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{ijk} = -1\},$$

$$\Re(\alpha) = x_0, \quad \bar{\alpha} = x_0 - \mathbf{i}x_1 - \mathbf{j}x_2 - \mathbf{k}x_3, \quad |\alpha| = \sqrt{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Associated with any non-real  $\alpha$  are its centralizer

$$\mathbb{C}_\alpha = \{\beta \in \mathbb{H} : \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha\} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(1, \alpha)$$

and the similarity (conjugacy) class

$$[\alpha] := \{h\alpha h^{-1} : h \neq 0\} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{H} : \Re(\beta) = \Re(\alpha) \text{ \& } |\beta| = |\alpha|\}.$$

$\alpha \sim \beta$  if and only if

$$\mu_\alpha(z) = z^2 - 2z\Re\alpha + |\alpha|^2 = z^2 - 2z\Re\beta + |\beta|^2 = \mu_\beta(z)$$

# Matrices over quaternions

Given a matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , its adjoint (conjugate transpose)  $A^*$  is defined as  $A^* = [\bar{a}_{ji}]$ . If  $A$  is *Hermitian* (i.e.,  $A = A^*$ ) all its eigenvalues are real; if they are all nonnegative (equivalently,  $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} \geq 0$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ) the matrix  $A$  is called *positive semidefinite*. If all eigenvalues are positive (equivalently,  $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} > 0$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ),  $A$  is called *positive definite*.



# Matrices over quaternions

Given a matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , its adjoint (conjugate transpose)  $A^*$  is defined as  $A^* = [\bar{a}_{ji}]$ . If  $A$  is *Hermitian* (i.e.,  $A = A^*$ ) all its eigenvalues are real; if they are all nonnegative (equivalently,  $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} \geq 0$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ) the matrix  $A$  is called *positive semidefinite*. If all eigenvalues are positive (equivalently,  $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} > 0$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ),  $A$  is called *positive definite*.

**Cauchy interlacing theorem:** If  $A \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$  is a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ , and  $B \in \mathbb{H}^{m \times m}$  is a principal submatrix of  $A$  with eigenvalues  $\mu_1 \leq \dots \leq \mu_m$ , then  $\lambda_k \leq \mu_k \leq \lambda_{k+n-m}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

R.C. Thompson, Johns Hopkins Lecture Series, 1988.

T.Y. Tam (1999).

# Stein equations and Schur complements

Let

$$Z_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_n = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times 2}, \quad J = J^*$$

Then the Stein equation  $P_n - Z_n P_n Z_n^* = C_n J C_n^*$  has a unique

(Hermitian) solution  $P_n$ . Furthermore,  $P_{n+k} = \begin{bmatrix} P_n & B_{n,k}^* \\ B_{n,k} & D_k \end{bmatrix}$ .

If  $P_n$  is invertible,

$$P_{n+k} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ B_{n,k} P_n^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_n & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{S}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & P_n^{-1} B_{n,k}^* \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_k := D_k - B_{n,k} P_n^{-1} B_{n,k}^*$  is the Schur complement of  $P_n$ .

$$\nu_{\pm}(P_{n+k}) = \nu_{\pm}(P_n) + \nu_{\pm}(\mathbf{S}_k), \quad \text{rank } P_{n+k} = n + \text{rank } \mathbf{S}_k.$$

**Remark:**  $\mathbf{S}_k$  satisfies the Stein identity

$$\mathbf{S}_k - Z_k \mathbf{S}_k Z_k^* = C'_k J C_k'^*,$$

where  $C'_k \in \mathbb{H}^{k \times 2}$  is given by

$$C'_k = \begin{bmatrix} c'_0 \\ \vdots \\ c'_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = (I - Z_k) \begin{bmatrix} -B_{n,k} P_n^{-1} & I_k \end{bmatrix} (I - Z_{n+k})^{-1} C_{n+k}.$$

Furthermore, the top row  $c'_0$  in  $C'_k$  is non-zero.

**Remark:**  $\mathbf{S}_k$  satisfies the Stein identity

$$\mathbf{S}_k - Z_k \mathbf{S}_k Z_k^* = C'_k J C_k'^*,$$

where  $C'_k \in \mathbb{H}^{k \times 2}$  is given by

$$C'_k = \begin{bmatrix} c'_0 \\ \vdots \\ c'_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = (I - Z_k) \begin{bmatrix} -B_{n,k} P_n^{-1} & I_k \end{bmatrix} (I - Z_{n+k})^{-1} C_{n+k}.$$

Furthermore, the top row  $c'_0$  in  $C'_k$  is non-zero.

This remark applies to

$$C_n = [\mathbf{e}_n \quad F_n], \quad \mathbf{e}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_n = \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

in which case

$$P_n - Z_n P_n Z_n^* = C_n J C_n^* = \mathbf{e}_n \mathbf{e}_n^* - F_n F_n^* \Leftrightarrow P_n = I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{n+k}^f = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_n^f & 0 \\ T_{n,k} & \mathbf{T}_k^f \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{n+k} = \begin{bmatrix} P_n & -\mathbf{T}_n^f T_{n,k}^* \\ -T_{n,k} \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} & P_k - T_{n,k} T_{n,k}^* \end{bmatrix},$$

If  $P_n$  is invertible, then its Schur complement  $\mathbf{S}_k$  is subject to

$$\mathbf{S}_k - Z_k \mathbf{S}_k Z_k^* = X_k X_k^* - Y_k Y_k^*,$$

where  $X_k, Y_k \in \mathbb{H}^k$  are given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} X_k & Y_k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & y_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{k-1} & y_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (I - Z_k) \begin{bmatrix} T_{n,k} \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} P_n^{-1} & I_k \end{bmatrix} (I - Z_{n+k})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{n+k} & F_{n+k} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{n+k}^f = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_n^f & 0 \\ T_{n,k} & \mathbf{T}_k^f \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{n+k} = \begin{bmatrix} P_n & -\mathbf{T}_n^f T_{n,k}^* \\ -T_{n,k} \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} & P_k - T_{n,k} T_{n,k}^* \end{bmatrix},$$

If  $P_n$  is invertible, then its Schur complement  $\mathbf{S}_k$  is subject to

$$\mathbf{S}_k - Z_k \mathbf{S}_k Z_k^* = X_k X_k^* - Y_k Y_k^*,$$

where  $X_k, Y_k \in \mathbb{H}^k$  are given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} X_k & Y_k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & y_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{k-1} & y_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (I - Z_k) \begin{bmatrix} T_{n,k} \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} P_n^{-1} & I_k \end{bmatrix} (I - Z_{n+k})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{n+k} & F_{n+k} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & y_0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{S}_1 = |x_0|^2 - |y_0|^2$ , it follows that if  $\nu_-(P_{n+1}) = \nu_-(P_n)$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{S}_1 \geq 0$ ), then  $x_0 \neq 0$ . Then the Toeplitz matrix  $\mathbf{T}_k^x$  is invertible, and

$$(\mathbf{T}_k^x)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_k (\mathbf{T}_k^{x*})^{-1} - Z_k (\mathbf{T}_k^x)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_k (\mathbf{T}_k^{x*})^{-1} Z_k^* = \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{e}_k^* - \mathcal{E}_k \mathcal{E}_k^*,$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{E}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_0 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} := (\mathbf{T}_k^x)^{-1} Y_k.$$

Therefore,  $(\mathbf{T}_k^x)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_k (\mathbf{T}_k^{x*})^{-1} = I_k - \mathbf{T}_k^\varepsilon \mathbf{T}_k^{\varepsilon*}$ . By the Sylvester law of inertia,

$$\nu_\pm(P_{n+k}) = \nu_\pm(P_n) + \nu_\pm(\mathbf{S}_k) = \nu_\pm(P_n) + \nu_\pm(I_k - \mathbf{T}_k^\varepsilon \mathbf{T}_k^{\varepsilon*})$$

**Theorem:** Given  $f_0, \dots, f_{N-1}$ , let us assume that the matrix  $P_N := I - \mathbf{T}_N^f \mathbf{T}_N^{f*}$  is singular and that  $P_n$  ( $n < N$ ) is the maximal invertible leading principal submatrix of  $P_N$ .

1. If  $\text{rank}(P_N) = \text{rank}(P_n) = n$ , then  $\nu_-(P_N) = \nu_-(P_n) := \kappa$  and for each  $m \geq 1$ , the extension  $P_{N+m}$  with  $\nu_-(P_{N+m}) = \kappa$  is unique and satisfies  $\text{rank}(P_{N+m}) = n$ .
2. If  $\text{rank}(P_N) = d > n$ , then for any choice of  $f_N, \dots, f_{2N-d-1}$ ,

$$\nu_\pm(P_{N+j}) = \nu_\pm(P_N) + j \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N - d.$$

In particular, the matrix  $P_{2N-d}$  is invertible.

# Extensions of invertible $P_n$ with minimal negative inertia

Define matrix polynomials  $\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{11} & \psi_{12} \\ \psi_{21} & \psi_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{12} \\ \theta_{21} & \theta_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ :

$$\Psi(z) = z^n I_2 - (z-1) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^* \\ F_n^* \end{bmatrix} (I - Z_n^*)^{-1} P_n^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_n(z) [\mathbf{e} \quad -F_n],$$

$$\Theta(z) = I_2 + (z-1) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^* \\ F_n^* \end{bmatrix} (I - zZ_n^*)^{-1} P_n^{-1} (I - Z_n)^{-1} [\mathbf{e} \quad -F_n],$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{Z}_n(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n z^{n-j} Z_n^{j-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (I - zZ_n^*)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} z^j Z_n^{*j}.$$

Then  $\Theta(z)\Psi(z) = z^n I_2$  and

$$[\theta_{21,0} \quad \theta_{22,0}] \neq 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{11,0} \\ \psi_{21,0} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0.$$



**Claim:** If the  $n$  first coefficients of  $f(z) = \sum f_j z^j \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  are such that  $P_n$  is invertible, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -f \end{bmatrix} \Theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{11} - f\theta_{21} & \theta_{12} - f\theta_{22} \end{bmatrix} = z^n \begin{bmatrix} x & -y \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $y(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_j z^j$  and  $x(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j z^j$  are the power series with coefficients defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & y_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{k-1} & y_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = (I - Z_k) \begin{bmatrix} T_{n,k} \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} P_n^{-1} & I_k \end{bmatrix} (I - Z_{n+k})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{n+k} & F_{n+k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Claim:** If the  $n$  first coefficients of  $f(z) = \sum f_j z^j \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  are such that  $P_n$  is invertible, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -f \end{bmatrix} \Theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{11} - f\theta_{21} & \theta_{12} - f\theta_{22} \end{bmatrix} = z^n \begin{bmatrix} x & -y \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $y(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_j z^j$  and  $x(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j z^j$  are the power series with coefficients defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & y_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{k-1} & y_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = (I - Z_k) \begin{bmatrix} T_{n,k} \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} P_n^{-1} & I_k \end{bmatrix} (I - Z_{n+k})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{n+k} & F_{n+k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It turns out that  $x_0\psi_{11,0} - y_0\psi_{21,0} \neq 0$ , and (4) can be written as

$$f = (x\psi_{11} - y\psi_{21})^{-1}(y\psi_{22} - x\psi_{12}) = (\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21})^{-1}(\varepsilon\psi_{22} - \psi_{12}),$$

where  $\varepsilon = x^{-1}y$ .

**Theorem:** Let  $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \in \mathbb{H}$  be such that  $P_n$  is invertible and let  $\Psi$  and  $\Theta$  be the polynomials defined two pages ago. Then

1. Equality

$$(\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21})^{-1}(\varepsilon\psi_{22} - \psi_{12}) = (\theta_{11}\varepsilon + \theta_{21})(\theta_{21}\varepsilon + \theta_{22})^{-1}$$

holds for any  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  subject to equivalent conditions

$$\psi_{11,0} - \varepsilon_0\psi_{21,0} \neq 0 \iff \theta_{21,0}\varepsilon_0 + \theta_{22,0} \neq 0, \quad (5)$$

which are met for all  $\varepsilon$  with  $|\varepsilon_0| \leq 1$  if and only if the bottom diagonal entry in  $P_n^{-1}$  is positive.

2. An extended sequence  $\{f_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  satisfies equalities

$$\nu_-(P_{n+k}) = \nu_-(P_n) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1$$

if and only if its  $Z$ -transform  $f(z) := \sum f_j z^j$  is of the form

$$f = (\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21})^{-1}(\varepsilon\psi_{22} - \psi_{12}) = (\theta_{11}\varepsilon + \theta_{21})(\theta_{21}\varepsilon + \theta_{22})^{-1},$$

where  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  is any power series subject to conditions (5) and such that  $P_k^\varepsilon := I - \mathbf{T}_k^\varepsilon \mathbf{T}_k^{\varepsilon*} \succeq 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

# Function-theoretic setting

$\mathbb{H}[[z]]$  is a ring with operations

$$(f+g)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k (f_k + g_k) \quad \text{and} \quad (fg)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^k f_{\ell} g_{k-\ell} \right).$$

Given  $\rho > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_{\rho}$  be the ring of power series absolutely converging in the ball  $\mathbb{B}_{\rho} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{H} : |\alpha| < \rho\}$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rho} = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k z^k : \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{|f_k|} \leq 1/\rho \right\}.$$

Any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho}$  can be evaluated at any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_{\rho}$  on the left or on the right via (absolutely) converging series

$$f^{e_l}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k f_k \quad \text{and} \quad f^{e_r}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \alpha^k.$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$  is called a *left* or *right* zero of  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  if respectively,  $f^{\text{el}}(\alpha) = 0$  or  $f^{\text{er}}(\alpha) = 0$ . If  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$  is a similarity class, then any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  either has no zeros in  $V$  or it has one left and one right zero in  $V$ , or  $f^{\text{el}}(\alpha) = f^{\text{er}}(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in V$ .

$\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$  is called a *left* or *right* zero of  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  if respectively,  $f^{\mathbf{e}\ell}(\alpha) = 0$  or  $f^{\mathbf{e}r}(\alpha) = 0$ . If  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$  is a similarity class, then any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  either has no zeros in  $V$  or it has one left and one right zero in  $V$ , or  $f^{\mathbf{e}\ell}(\alpha) = f^{\mathbf{e}r}(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in V$ .

Therefore, for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  and a similarity class  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$ , either  $f^{\mathbf{e}\ell}(\alpha) = c = f^{\mathbf{e}r}(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in V$  or for any  $\alpha \in V$  there is a unique  $\alpha' \in V$  such that  $f^{\mathbf{e}r}(\alpha') = f^{\mathbf{e}\ell}(\alpha)$ .

Therefore,  $f^{\mathbf{e}\ell}(V) = f^{\mathbf{e}r}(V)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$ .

Therefore,  $f^{\mathbf{e}\ell}(\mathbb{B}_{\rho'}) = f^{\mathbf{e}r}(\mathbb{B}_{\rho'})$  for any  $\rho' < \rho$ .

$\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$  is called a *left* or *right* zero of  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  if respectively,  $f^{\text{el}}(\alpha) = 0$  or  $f^{\text{er}}(\alpha) = 0$ . If  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$  is a similarity class, then any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  either has no zeros in  $V$  or it has one left and one right zero in  $V$ , or  $f^{\text{el}}(\alpha) = f^{\text{er}}(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in V$ .

Therefore, for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  and a similarity class  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$ , either  $f^{\text{el}}(\alpha) = c = f^{\text{er}}(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in V$  or for any  $\alpha \in V$  there is a unique  $\alpha' \in V$  such that  $f^{\text{er}}(\alpha') = f^{\text{el}}(\alpha)$ .

Therefore,  $f^{\text{el}}(V) = f^{\text{er}}(V)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$ .

Therefore,  $f^{\text{el}}(\mathbb{B}_{\rho'}) = f^{\text{er}}(\mathbb{B}_{\rho'})$  for any  $\rho' < \rho$ .

We now introduce the norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_1} |f^{\text{el}}(\alpha)| = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_1} |f^{\text{er}}(\alpha)|$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and define the *Schur class*  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  to be

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}} := \{f \in \mathcal{H}_1 : \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$  is called a *left* or *right* zero of  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  if respectively,  $f^{\text{el}}(\alpha) = 0$  or  $f^{\text{er}}(\alpha) = 0$ . If  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$  is a similarity class, then any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  either has no zeros in  $V$  or it has one left and one right zero in  $V$ , or  $f^{\text{el}}(\alpha) = f^{\text{er}}(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in V$ .

Therefore, for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  and a similarity class  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$ , either  $f^{\text{el}}(\alpha) = c = f^{\text{er}}(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in V$  or for any  $\alpha \in V$  there is a unique  $\alpha' \in V$  such that  $f^{\text{er}}(\alpha') = f^{\text{el}}(\alpha)$ .

Therefore,  $f^{\text{el}}(V) = f^{\text{er}}(V)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{B}_\rho$ .

Therefore,  $f^{\text{el}}(\mathbb{B}_{\rho'}) = f^{\text{er}}(\mathbb{B}_{\rho'})$  for any  $\rho' < \rho$ .

We now introduce the norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_1} |f^{\text{el}}(\alpha)| = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_1} |f^{\text{er}}(\alpha)|$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and define the *Schur class*  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  to be

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}} := \{f \in \mathcal{H}_1 : \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

**Alpay-B-Colombo-Sabadini (2015):** A power series  $f \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  if and only if  $P_n = I_n - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} \succeq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .



# Blaschke factors and products

Define the *Blaschke product* of degree  $n$  to be

$$f = \phi \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{b}_{\alpha_2} \cdots \mathbf{b}_{\alpha_n} \quad (\alpha_i \in \mathbb{B}_1, |\phi| = 1)$$

where the *Blaschke factor*  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha$  is the power series defined by

$$\mathbf{b}_\alpha(z) = (z - \alpha)(1 - z\bar{\alpha})^{-1} = -\alpha + (1 - |\alpha|^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}^k z^{k+1} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_1).$$

Since  $|\mathbf{b}_\alpha^{e_\ell}(\gamma)| = |\mathbf{b}_\alpha^{e_r}(\gamma)| \begin{cases} < 1 & \text{if } |\gamma| < 1, \\ = 1 & \text{if } |\gamma| = 1, \end{cases}$ , Blaschke factors and products are in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

**Example:**  $\mathbf{b}_{i/2} \mathbf{b}_{j/2} \mathbf{b}_{k/2}$

# Blaschke factors and products

Define the *Blaschke product* of degree  $n$  to be

$$f = \phi \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{b}_{\alpha_2} \cdots \mathbf{b}_{\alpha_n} \quad (\alpha_i \in \mathbb{B}_1, |\phi| = 1)$$

where the *Blaschke factor*  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha$  is the power series defined by

$$\mathbf{b}_\alpha(z) = (z - \alpha)(1 - z\bar{\alpha})^{-1} = -\alpha + (1 - |\alpha|^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}^k z^{k+1} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_1).$$

Since  $|\mathbf{b}_\alpha^{\text{el}}(\gamma)| = |\mathbf{b}_\alpha^{\text{er}}(\gamma)| \begin{cases} < 1 & \text{if } |\gamma| < 1, \\ = 1 & \text{if } |\gamma| = 1, \end{cases}$ , Blaschke factors and products are in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

**Example:**  $\mathbf{b}_{i/2} \mathbf{b}_{j/2} \mathbf{b}_{k/2}$

**B. (2021):** A power series  $f(z) = \sum f_k z^k \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  is a Blaschke product of degree  $k$  if and only if  $P_n = I_n - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*}$  is positive semidefinite and  $\text{rank}(P_n) = \min(k, n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

# Carathéodory-Schur problem in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$

**Theorem:** Given  $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \in \mathbb{H}$ , there exists an

$$f(z) = f_0 + f_1 z + \dots + f_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}} \quad (6)$$

if and only if  $P_n = I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} \succeq 0$ . If  $P_n \succ 0$ , then the formula

$$f = (\theta_{11}\varepsilon + \theta_{21})(\theta_{21}\varepsilon + \theta_{22})^{-1} = (\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21})^{-1}(\varepsilon\psi_{22} - \psi_{12}), \quad \varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$$

parametrizes all  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  subject to condition (6). Furthermore,  $f$  is a finite Blaschke product if and only if  $\varepsilon$  is. In this case,  $\deg f = n + \deg \varepsilon$ .

# Carathéodory-Schur problem in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$

**Theorem:** Given  $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \in \mathbb{H}$ , there exists an

$$f(z) = f_0 + f_1 z + \dots + f_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}} \quad (6)$$

if and only if  $P_n = I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} \succeq 0$ . If  $P_n \succ 0$ , then the formula

$$f = (\theta_{11}\varepsilon + \theta_{21})(\theta_{21}\varepsilon + \theta_{22})^{-1} = (\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21})^{-1}(\varepsilon\psi_{22} - \psi_{12}), \quad \varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$$

parametrizes all  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  subject to condition (6). Furthermore,  $f$  is a finite Blaschke product if and only if  $\varepsilon$  is. In this case,  $\deg f = n + \deg \varepsilon$ .

- Linear fractional formulas make sense, since the bottom diagonal entry in  $P_n^{-1}$  is positive and  $|\varepsilon_0| \leq 1$ .
- If  $\deg f = m$ , then

$$\text{rank}(I - \mathbf{T}_k^\varepsilon \mathbf{T}_k^{\varepsilon*}) = \text{rank } P_{n+k} - n = \min\{m, n+k\} - n = \min\{m-n, k\}$$

# Uniform approximation Carathéodory theorem

**Theorem:** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$ . For any  $\rho < 1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite Blaschke product  $B$  such that

$$|f^{e_\ell}(\alpha) - B^{e_\ell}(\alpha)| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |f^{e_r}(\alpha) - B^{e_r}(\alpha)| < \epsilon$$

for all  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_\rho$ .

# Uniform approximation Carathéodory theorem

**Theorem:** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$ . For any  $\rho < 1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite Blaschke product  $B$  such that

$$|f^{e_\ell}(\alpha) - B^{e_\ell}(\alpha)| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |f^{e_r}(\alpha) - B^{e_r}(\alpha)| < \epsilon$$

for all  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_\rho$ .

Choose  $n$  such that  $2\rho^n < \epsilon$  and assume that  $f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j z^j$  is not a finite Blaschke product so that  $P_n = I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*} \succ 0$ . Then there is a finite Blaschke product  $B$  having the same first  $n$  coefficients as  $f$ . Then  $g = \frac{1}{2}(f - B) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$ , and  $g(z) = z^n h(z)$  for some  $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ . Since  $\mathbf{T}_{n+m}^g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{T}_m^h & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , for any  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$I_{m+n} - \mathbf{T}_{n+m}^g \mathbf{T}_{n+m}^{g*} = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_m - \mathbf{T}_m^h \mathbf{T}_m^{h*} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1,$$

and hence,  $h \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$ . Therefore, for any  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_\rho$ ,

$$|f^{e_\ell}(\alpha) - B^{e_\ell}(\alpha)| = 2|g^{e_\ell}(\alpha)| = 2|\alpha|^n |h^{e_\ell}(\alpha)| \leq 2\rho^n < \epsilon.$$

# The generalized Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$

Let us say that  $f \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  belongs to the *generalized Schur class*  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$  if the Hermitian matrices  $P_n = I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*}$  have  $\kappa$  negative eigenvalues counted with multiplicities:

$$\nu_{-}(I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*}) = \kappa \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

The indefinite Carathéodory problem consists of finding

$$f(z) = f_0 + zf_1 + \dots + f_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa} \quad (7)$$

with minimally possible  $\kappa$  (which is at least  $\nu_{-}(P_n)$ ).

# The generalized Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$

Let us say that  $f \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  belongs to the *generalized Schur class*  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$  if the Hermitian matrices  $P_n = I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*}$  have  $\kappa$  negative eigenvalues counted with multiplicities:

$$\nu_{-}(I - \mathbf{T}_n^f \mathbf{T}_n^{f*}) = \kappa \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

The indefinite Carathéodory problem consists of finding

$$f(z) = f_0 + zf_1 + \dots + f_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa} \quad (7)$$

with minimally possible  $\kappa$  (which is at least  $\nu_{-}(P_n)$ ). If  $P_n$  is invertible, then  $\kappa_{\min} = \nu_{-}(P_n)$ . Moreover, the formula

$$f = (\theta_{11}\varepsilon + \theta_{21})(\theta_{21}\varepsilon + \theta_{22})^{-1} = (\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21})^{-1}(\varepsilon\psi_{22} - \psi_{12})$$

with free parameter  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  subject to conditions

$$\psi_{11,0} - \varepsilon_0\psi_{21,0} \neq 0 \iff \theta_{21,0}\varepsilon_0 + \theta_{22,0} \neq 0,$$

parametrizes all  $f$  of the form (7).



# The singular case

Let us suppose that  $P_n$  is singular,  $\text{rank}(P_n) = d < n$ , and let  $P_r$  ( $r < n$ ) be the maximal invertible leading submatrix of  $P_n$ .

1. If  $d = r$  (i.e.,  $\text{rank}(P_n) = \text{rank}(P_r)$ ), then there is a unique  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$  ( $\kappa = \nu_{-}(P_n) = \nu_{-}(P_r)$ ) with initial coefficients  $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}$ .
2. If  $d > r$ , then the minimally possible  $\kappa$  equals

$$\kappa = \nu_{-}(P_n) + n - d = \nu_{-}(P_n) + \nu_0(P_n),$$

where  $\nu_0$  stands for the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue.

# The singular case

Let us suppose that  $P_n$  is singular,  $\text{rank}(P_n) = d < n$ , and let  $P_r$  ( $r < n$ ) be the maximal invertible leading submatrix of  $P_n$ .

1. If  $d = r$  (i.e.,  $\text{rank}(P_n) = \text{rank}(P_r)$ ), then there is a unique  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$  ( $\kappa = \nu_{-}(P_n) = \nu_{-}(P_r)$ ) with initial coefficients  $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}$ .
2. If  $d > r$ , then the minimally possible  $\kappa$  equals

$$\kappa = \nu_{-}(P_n) + n - d = \nu_{-}(P_n) + \nu_0(P_n),$$

where  $\nu_0$  stands for the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue.

- To get all  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$  with the first  $n$  coefficients equal to  $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1}$  in Case 2, we first choose arbitrary  $f_n, \dots, f_{2n-d}$  to reach the invertible matrix  $P_{2n-d}$  and then apply the linear fractional formula to each such choice.

# Regular meromorphic functions associated with $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$

For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$ , there is  $n \geq \kappa$  such that  $P_n$  is invertible and  $\nu_{-}(P_n) = \kappa$ .

For such  $n$ , define the polynomial  $\Theta$  as above and conclude that

$$f = (\theta_{11}\varepsilon + \theta_{21})(\theta_{21}\varepsilon + \theta_{22})^{-1}$$

for some  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  such that  $\theta_{21,0}\varepsilon_0 + \theta_{22,0} \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\theta_{21}\varepsilon + \theta_{22}$  has no zeros in a neighborhood of the origin and therefore  $f$  converges absolutely in this neighborhood.

Thus, the power series  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$  can be left and right evaluated in a neighborhood of the origin giving rise to left and right regular functions  $f^{e_l}$  and  $f^{e_r}$ .

Further elaboration may come from the Krein-Langer type factorization result: *For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\kappa}$  there exist  $S_L, S_R \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  and Blaschke products  $B_L, B_R$  of degree  $\kappa$  so that  $f$  admits coprime power-series factorizations*

$$f(z) = B_L(z)^{-1} S_L(z) = S_R(z) B_R(z)^{-1}.$$

Furthermore,  $B_L B_L^{\sharp} = B_R B_R^{\sharp}$ . If we denote by  $\mathcal{Z}$  the zero set of the real Blaschke product  $\tilde{B} := B_L B_L^{\sharp} = B_R B_R^{\sharp}$ , then the functions  $f^{e\ell}$  and  $f^{er}$  admit meromorphic (semi-regular) extensions to  $\mathbb{B} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$  by the formulas

$$f^{e\ell}(\alpha) = \tilde{B}(\alpha)^{-1} (B_L^{\sharp} S_L)^{e\ell}(\alpha), \quad f^{er}(\alpha) = (S_R B_R^{\sharp})^{er}(\alpha) \tilde{B}(\alpha)^{-1}.$$

# Excluded parameters

Let us say that  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  is an *excluded parameter* of order  $m$  of the linear fractional transformation

$$\mathbf{L}_{\Psi}[\varepsilon] := (\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21})^{-1}(\varepsilon\psi_{22} - \psi_{12}), \quad \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{11} & \psi_{12} \\ \psi_{21} & \psi_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

if  $\boxed{\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21} = z^m h}$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  with  $h_0 \neq 0$ .

# Excluded parameters

Let us say that  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  is an *excluded parameter* of order  $m$  of the linear fractional transformation

$$\mathbf{L}_{\Psi}[\varepsilon] := (\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21})^{-1}(\varepsilon\psi_{22} - \psi_{12}), \quad \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{11} & \psi_{12} \\ \psi_{21} & \psi_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

if  $\boxed{\psi_{11} - \varepsilon\psi_{21} = z^m h}$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{H}[[z]]$  with  $h_0 \neq 0$ .

**Theorem:** There exists an excluded parameter  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  of order  $m$  if and only if the  $m \times m$  bottom principal submatrix of  $P_n^{-1}$  is either

- (1) negative definite, in which case there are infinitely many excluded parameters of order  $m$ , or
- (2) the maximal negative semidefinite bottom principal submatrix of  $P_n^{-1}$ , in which case there is a unique excluded parameter  $\varepsilon$  of order  $m$ .

**Theorem:** Let  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$  be an excluded parameter of order  $m$ . Then the power series  $f_{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{L}_{\Psi}[\varepsilon]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{k-m}$  and is of the form

$$f_{\varepsilon}(z) = f_0 + \dots + f_{n-k-1}z^{n-k-1} + f_{\varepsilon, n-k}z^{n-k} + \dots, \quad f_{\varepsilon, n-k} \neq f_{n-k}.$$

In other words, the  $n - m$  first coefficients of  $f_{\varepsilon}$  are equal to prescribed  $f_0, \dots, f_{n-k-1}$ , but  $f_{\varepsilon, n-k}$  is different from the prescribed  $f_{n-k}$ .